From: Ching, Mark
To: Repp, Chris
Subject: RE: Please review : Crossroads Hollywood Project Initial Study
Date: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 1:18:28 PM

Chris,

As Andrei indicated, Paragraph b appears to be the only section that is relevant to us.

The potential impact highlighted is not due to any direct extraction or alteration to the groundwater basin, rather, a *potential* reduction in permeable area, thereby *potentially* reducing the volume of surface water that may otherwise *potentially* permeate and recharge the basin.

I don't have any comments since considering the storm drain system and existing impermeable development in the area, I can't imagine the post-development reduction in groundwater recharge of the project site to be more than a few gallons per year on average. That's my thought. If anything, this paragraph has greater relevance to Stormwater than us.

Regards,

Mark Ching
213.367.0794

From: Repp, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2015 11:05 AM
To: Ching, Mark
Subject: FW: Please review : Crossroads Hollywood Project Initial Study

Mark, I had planned to take care of this myself, but I must defer for now. It's a simple enough request. Looks like Andrei has already identified the two questionable paragraphs. Please respond to list of contacts with any comments as usual. I'm happy to discuss any questionable language.
Thanks, Chris

From: Reed, Greg
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 2:42 PM
To: Repp, Chris
Subject: RE: Please review : Crossroads Hollywood Project Initial Study

Chris,
Please respond to this request with our comments.

Thanks,
Greg

From: Tcharssov, Andrei
Sent: Friday, November 13, 2015 2:41 PM
To: Reed, Greg
Cc: Moosbrugger, Earl; Repp, Chris

Subject: Please review : Crossroads Hollywood Project Initial Study

Greg,

Water Resources Division received from the City Planning Department a request to review the Initial Study (IS) for the Crossroads Hollywood Project. Please see attachment for brief description of project. Please review sections relevant to Groundwater Group, and send any comments to the list below.

We identified only one paragraph that might be of concern to GW group; the IS states that there could be a potentially significant impact to groundwater recharge and further analysis of this topic will be included in the draft EIR. We would like to direct your attention to the section:

- IX. Hydrology and Water Quality, paragraph b on pages B-19 to B-20.

To: Chuck Holloway Charles.Holloway@ladwp.com (LADWP Environment & Efficiency)
Cc: Nadia Parker Nadia.Parker@ladwp.com (LADWP Environment & Efficiency)
Cc: Stephanie Eatinger Stephanie.Eatinger@ladwp.com (LADWP Environment and Efficiency)
Cc: Alejandro Huerta alejandro.huerta@lacity.org (City Planning)
Cc: Earl Moosbrugger Earl.Moosbrugger@ladwp.com
Cc: Andrei Tcharssov Andrei.Tcharssov@ladwp.com

LADWP Environment & Efficiency will compile comments from all LADWP groups and send one set of comments to City Planning or in the event when there isn't enough time to go through LADWP Environment & Efficiency, the comments can be sent directly to Planning.

The IS can be accessed at http://planning.lacity.org/eir/nops/TOCNOP.htm . The comment period ends on **11/23/15.**

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Andrei Tcharssov
LADWP Water Resources Development
111 N. Hope Street, Room 1450
Los Angeles, CA 90012
(213) 367-2155